

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/541,552	04/03/2000	Maury Zivitz	53009-223482	5090	
. 75	590 04/10/2002				
David B Kagan			EXAMINER		
Kagan Binder, 221 Main St. N	04/03/2000 04/10/2002 LLC	.C		SODERQUIST, ARLEN	
Suite 200 Stillwater, MN	55082		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Still Water, IVII V	33002		1743	6	
			DATE MAILED: 04/10/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		W IF	三し		
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/541,552	ZIVITZ, MAURY			
. Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Arlen Soderquist	1743			
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	•	ith the correspondence address			
Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.  after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin  earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	136(a). In no event, however, may a ly within the statutory minimum of thi will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO e. cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed  ty (30) days will be considered timely.  NTHS from the mailing date of this communication  BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	•				
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ TI	his action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	ance except for formal ma	itters, prosecution as to the merits i	s		
closed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
4) Claim(s) 1-23 is/are pending in the application	n.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-23</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>12 February 2001</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to th	* ' '				
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	_	isapproved by the Examiner.			
If approved, corrected drawings are required in re	• •				
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	xaminer.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority document	ts have been received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority document	ts have been received in A	Application No			
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the price application from the International But See the attached detailed Office action for a list</li> </ul>	ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	•		on).		
a) The translation of the foreign language pro	ovisional application has t	een received.	,		
Attachment(s)		. 00			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 2	5) Notice of	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/541,552

Art Unit: 1743

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- Claims 1, 10-13, 15-18 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being 2. anticipated by Harman. In the published application Harman teaches noise a reduction technique for electrochemical cells. A method and apparatus are disclosed for the electrochemical determination of an electrochemically reactive substance in a fluid stream and for simultaneously determining and subtracting from the current flow due to the reaction of the sought-for substance, current flow caused by non-Faradaic conditions and other non-sought-for electrochemically reactive substances. The sensor consists of a counter electrode, a sensing electrode, and a compensating electrode. The sensing electrode in combination with the counter electrode forms the measurement loop and is biased to the concentration polarization potential of the sought-after substance. The compensating electrode in combination with the counter electrode forms the compensation loop and is biased to a different potential sufficiently low to cause current flow between the counter electrode and the compensating electrode due to non-Faradaic phenomena and/or other electrochemically reactive substances which react below the potential of the sensing electrode. Circuitry is provided for subtracting the compensating electrode signal output from the sensing electrode signal output and the difference is a signal which represents the diffusion current flow due to the electrochemical reaction of the sought after substance.
- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 09/541,552

Art Unit: 1743

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 4. Claims 1-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Parks in view of Harman. In the patent Parks teaches a biosensor electrode excitation circuit that is substantially similar to the claimed device. The device has two electrodes (12,14) forming a measurement loop that includes a test cell (10) on a substrate (16). Figure 3 shows a circuit for applying potential to the electrodes for measurement of an analyte. Parks does not teach a noise cancellation loop to cancel the effects of electromagnetically propagated energy.

In the published application Harman teaches noise a reduction technique for electrochemical cells. A method and apparatus are disclosed for the electrochemical determination of an electrochemically reactive substance in a fluid stream and for simultaneously determining and subtracting from the current flow due to the reaction of the sought-for substance, current flow caused by non-Faradaic conditions and other non-sought-for electrochemically reactive substances. The sensor consists of a counter electrode, a sensing electrode, and a compensating electrode. The sensing electrode in combination with the counter electrode forms the measurement loop and is biased to the concentration polarization potential of the sought-after substance. The compensating electrode in combination with the counter electrode forms the compensation loop and is biased to a different potential sufficiently low to cause current flow between the counter electrode and the compensating electrode due to non-Faradaic phenomena and/or other electrochemically reactive substances which react below the potential of the sensing electrode. Circuitry is provided for subtracting the compensating electrode signal output from the sensing electrode signal output and the

Application/Control Number: 09/541,552

Art Unit: 1743

. 1 . .

difference is a signal which represents the diffusion current flow due to the electrochemical reaction of the sought after substance.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to In corporate the compensating electrode of Harman into the Parks device and method because of the ability ti remove interference from non-Faradaic phenomena and/or other electrochemically reactive substances which react below the potential of the sensing electrode as taught by Harman.

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The cited references are related to noise and other interference signal canceling devices and methods.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Arlen Soderquist whose telephone number is (703) 308-3989. The examiner's schedule is variable between the hours of about 5:30 AM to about 5:00 PM on Monday through Thursday and alternate Fridays.

For communication by fax to the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned, (703) 305-7719 may be used for official, unofficial or draft papers. When using this number a call to alert the examiner would be appreciated. Numbers for faxing official papers are 703-872-9310 (before finals), 703-872-9311 (after-final), 703-305-7718, 703-305-5408 and 703-305-5433. The above fax numbers will generally allow the papers to be forwarded to the examiner in a timely manner.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

ARLEN SODERQUIST

PRIMARY EXAMINER

April 8, 2002